

**EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

HORIZON 2020 PROGRAMME  
TOPIC H2020-LCE-07-2016-2017

Developing the next generation technologies of renewable electricity and  
heating/cooling

GA No. 727523

**Next – generation interdigitated back-contacted silicon  
heterojunction solar cells and modules by design and  
process innovations**



**NextBase - Deliverable report**

**D5.1- Selection of suitable patterning schemes for  
> 26.0 %-efficient devices**

<b>Deliverable No.</b>	NextBase D5.1	
<b>Related WP</b>	WP5	
<b>Deliverable Title</b>	Selection of suitable patterning schemes for > 26.0 %-efficient devices	
<b>Deliverable Date</b>	2018-03-31	
<b>Deliverable Type</b>	Report	
<b>Dissemination level</b>	Confidential – member only (CO)	
<b>Author(s)</b>	Bertrand Paviet-Salomon, Damien Lachenal, Mathieu Bocard, Samuel Harrison, Paul Procel Moya, Hariharsudan Radhakrishnan, Lars Korte, Johann-Christoph Stang, Alaaeldin Gad	March 2018

### *Disclaimer/ Acknowledgment*



Copyright ©, all rights reserved. This document or any part thereof may not be made public or disclosed, copied or otherwise reproduced or used in any form or by any means, without prior permission in writing from the NextBase Consortium. Neither the NextBase Consortium nor any of its members, their officers, employees or agents shall be liable or responsible, in negligence or otherwise, for any loss, damage or expense whatever sustained by any person as a result of the use, in any manner or form, of any knowledge, information or data contained in this document, or due to any inaccuracy, omission or error therein contained.

All Intellectual Property Rights, know-how and information provided by and/or arising from this document, such as designs, documentation, as well as preparatory material in that regard, is and shall remain the exclusive property of the NextBase Consortium and any of its members or its licensors. Nothing contained in this document shall give, or shall be construed as giving, any right, title, ownership, interest, license or any other right in or to any IP, know-how and information.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 727523. The information and views set out in this publication does not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf, may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

## Publishable summary

The NextBase project aims at demonstrating an IBC-SHJ device with > 26.0 % efficiency using lab-scale processes. Along these lines, several patterning techniques have been investigated, namely photolithography, various laser-based patterning techniques, and shadow-masking. These techniques were benchmarked regarding (i) the minimum width of the patterned features, (ii) the shape of the patterned features, and (iii) the number of process steps required to pattern a given layer. Photolithography demonstrated features down to 5  $\mu\text{m}$  in width, laser patterning down to 50  $\mu\text{m}$ , whereas shadow-masking resulted in features in the range of 300 to 600  $\mu\text{m}$  in width. The shape of the patterned features was found to greatly differ between the different patterning schemes. Whereas a perfectly or nearly perfectly accurate shape is achieved for most of the photolithography- and laser-based features, shadow-mask patterning results in strongly tapered and shadowed fingers. Interestingly however, several experimental levers, such as PECVD deposition parameters and shadow-mask properties were found to efficiently mitigate these tapering effects. The number of patterning process steps shows a great variation among the investigated techniques. For photolithography, more than 10 steps are required to pattern a single layer. Laser-based patterning requires from 2 to 7 steps depending on the approach. Shadow-mask patterning is clearly the simplest one, especially when combined with a tunnel-IBC architecture, as in this case both the electrons and the holes collecting layers are patterned in one single step.

Since the kick-off of the NextBase project, regular progresses have been recorded with each patterning technique. As of March 2018, shadow-mask-based IBC-SHJ devices demonstrated up to 24.1 % efficiency, photolithography-based ones up to 23.3 %, and laser-based ones up to 22.5 %. The learning rates over this time frame are within 1 to 2 %/year for all the investigated patterning techniques, therefore giving confidence that the final WP5 deliverable of 26.0 % efficiency is within reach in the project schedule.

Eventually, it was found that no down selection of the patterning schemes is required at this stage of the project, as all of them feature interesting assets in the chase to 26.0 % efficiency. Rather, the NextBase partners will focus on reducing the transport losses at the hole collecting hetero contact, as these losses were found to be the limiting factor towards the achievement of IBC-SHJ devices with > 26.0 % efficiency.